UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,751	08/21/2006	Stephen Brown	3003-1185	7987
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/23/200 OMPSON	9	EXAM	INER
209 Madison Street Suite 500			STEITZ, RACHEL RUNNING	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/586,751	BROWN, STEPHEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel R. Steitz	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 02 February 2009					
,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	· 	ters prosecution as to the merits	ie			
closed in accordance with the practice	•	· •	10			
ologod in decordance with the practice	and Expante Quayre, 1000 C.E	7. 11, 100 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7-12 and 15</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4, 5, 7-12, 15</u> is/are rejected	d.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	- - - - - - -					
		by the Examiner				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(u).			
Trib The datif of declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	JOINCE ACTION OF TOTAL TO-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A Ocuments have been the priority documents have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/586,751 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (US 2004/0168699) in view of Fukuyama (US 7,165,557).

Regarding claim 1, Park discloses a method of joining a hairpiece (20) to a lock of hair (22) to provide a hair extension the method comprising the steps of bringing together adjacent regions of the hairpiece and the lock of hair in contact with an adhesive (30) (see Figure 1; paragraph 23). The adhesive (30) has been pre-applied to the adjacent region of the hairpiece and exposing the hairpiece to heat to cure the adhesive to thereby bond the hairpiece to the lock of hair (see Figure 1; paragraph 24). Park does not disclose the adhesive being curable by UV radiation and exposing the adhesive to UV radiation to cure the adhesive.

Fukuyama teaches a hair device that uses an adhesive curable by UV radiation and exposing the adhesive to UV radiation to cure the adhesive (column 12, lines 60-70). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Park to have an adhesive curable to UV radiation and then exposing the adhesive to UV radiation rather than heat to cure the

adhesive as taught by Fukuyama in order to reduce the thickness of the overall hairpiece.

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Park and Fukuyama further includes the step of clamping the adjacent regions of the hairpiece and the lock of hair in a tool (28) and then exposing the adhesive (30) to the UV radiation to cure the adhesive (see Figure 1 of Park).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Park and Fukuyama discloses the method wherein the adhesive is cured using a process which does not require a significant input of thermal energy (column 12, lines 60-67 of Fukuyama).

Regarding claim 7, Park discloses a tool (28) for the attachment of a hairpiece (20) to a lock of hair (22) using an adhesive (30) the tool comprises a clamp means (42) for clamping together in use, in an abutting or overlapping fashion adjacent end regions of the lock of hair and the hairpiece and a means for directing a heat source at the abutting or over lapping adjacent end regions (see Figures 4 and 5; paragraph 38). Park does not disclose the adhesive being curable by exposure to UV radiation and the tool having a means for direction UV radiation instead of heat to the abutting region.

Fukuyama teaches a hair device that uses an adhesive curable by UV radiation and exposing the adhesive to UV radiation to cure the adhesive (column 12, lines 60-70). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Park to have an adhesive curable to UV radiation and then exposing the adhesive to UV radiation rather than heat to cure the

Art Unit: 3732

adhesive as taught by Fukuyama in order to reduce the thickness of the overall hairpiece.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Park and Fukuyama disclose the tool having two jaw members (44,48) mounted for relative hinging movement (see Figure 4 of Park).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Park and Fukuyama disclose at least one surface of the two jaw members including a groove (58) or a profiled guide region for isolation the lock of hair (see Figure 4 of Park).

Regarding claim 11, Park discloses a hairpiece (20) having an amount of curable adhesive material provided on a bonding region (30) at an end region of the hairpiece. Park does not disclose the adhesive being curable by UV radiation.

Fukuyama teaches a hair device that uses an adhesive curable by UV radiation and exposing the adhesive to UV radiation to cure the adhesive (column 12, lines 60-70). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Park to have an adhesive curable to UV radiation and then exposing the adhesive to UV radiation rather than heat to cure the adhesive as taught by Fukuyama in order to reduce the thickness of the overall hairpiece.

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Park and Fukuyama disclose a kit comprising hairpiece (20) in combination with the tool (28).

3. Claims 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park and Fukuyama as applied to claims 8 and 9 above, and further in view of Gang (US 5,894,846).

The combination of Park and Fukuyama disclose the claimed invention except for the tool comprising a switch for actuating a source.

Gang discloses a tool comprising a switch (40) for actuation a source (see Figure 3; column 6, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tool of Park to include a switch as taught by Gang in order to actuating the source.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 2, 2009 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel R. Steitz whose telephone number is (571)272-1917. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,751 Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robyn Doan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732 /Rachel Running Steitz/ Examiner Art Unit 3732

3/19/2009